

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/715,586	Applicant(s) DANFORTH ET AL.	
	Examiner Raymond J. Henley III	Art Unit 1614	

All Participants:

(1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(2) Stephana E. Patton.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 May 2005

Time: PM (E.D.T.)

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None


Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Application is abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature — if appropriate)